



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,327	12/23/2003		Byoung-young Bae	1793.1105	5588
21171	7590	08/12/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & 1 SUITE 700	HALSE	Y LLP	RAY, GOPAL C		
	YORK A	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ron, do	20005	2111		
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/743,327	BAE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gopal C. Ray	2111					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 December 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/04.	6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 08102005					

Application/Control Number: 10/743,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is <u>clearly indicative</u> of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner believes that the title of the invention is broad. A descriptive title indicative of the invention will help in proper indexing, classifying, searching, etc. See MPEP 606.01. However, the title of the invention should be limited to 500 characters.
- 4. The drawings filed on 12/23/03 are acceptable by the examiner. However, direct any inquiries concerning drawing review by the USPTO draftsperson to the Drawing Review Branch at (703) 305-8404.
- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 6. Claim 13 is objected to as being in improper form because the claim depends on itself. Claims 14-18 incorporate the deficiencies of claim 13. Accordingly, claims 13-18 have not been further treated on the merits. Applicant should change the dependency of claim 13. Furthermore, all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1, 2, 4-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 6,295,569 granted to Shimura et al.

As per claim 1, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "an optical disc drive" in Fig. 1, element 10; "an optical disc" in Fig. 1, element 22; "a driving unit ..." (lines 2-3) in Fig. 22, element 280 and "a control board to control the driving unit ..." (lines 4-5) in Fig. 20A, element 210.

As per claim 2, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "PCMCIA slot" in col. 7, line 48.

As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per claim 5, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "wherein the driving unit is an external device that connects to the computer by a cable" in Fig. 1, element 20.

As per claim 6, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "wherein the driving unit is an internal device that fits into a peripheral slot of the computer" in Fig. 21, elements 10, 18 and 26.

As per claim 7, the reference of Shimura et al. inherently teaches "wherein the controller is connected to the driving unit by a cable" in col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 4.

As per claim 8, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "wherein the controller is an external device that connects to the computer by a cable connected to an interface port" in Fig. 1, elements 20 and 26.

As per claim 9, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "wherein the controller is connected to the driving unit by a bus in the computer" in Fig. 22, element 275.

As per claim 10, the reference of Shimura et al. teaches "PCMCIA interface" in col. 7, line 48.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,327 Page 4

Art Unit: 2111

As per claim 12, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 4 above.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,295,569 granted to Shimura et al. in view of US Patent 6,502,755 granted to Liu et al.

As per claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 with the exception of "the interface device is a USB port". However, the above feature was well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Liu et al. The reference of Liu et al. teaches the feature in col. 3, lines 63-64. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shimura et al. to implement the above feature to obtain the claimed invention because both the systems are analogous to improving optical data storage unit's operability and the above feature of Liu et al. would allow the system of Shimura et al. to be compatible with a widely used standard and to allow the system to take advantage of the many benefits provided by a USB port.

As per claim 11, the added limitation of the claim is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 3.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is urged to consider the references. However, the references should be evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosure.

The prior art submitted by applicant has been considered by the examiner and made of record in the file. Applicants are reminded that each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Applicants are advised to submit any information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gopal C. Ray whose telephone number is (571) 272-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC central telephone number is (571) 272-2100. Moreover, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications ceased to be mailed to applicants with office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of Foreign Patents and Non-Patent Literature will continue to be included with office

Application/Control Number: 10/743,327 Page 7

Art Unit: 2111

actions. These cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available for download via Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. Patent or Patent Application Publications will not be granted.

GOPAL C. RAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500

Gopal C. Lay